

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,885	08/24/2001	Olga Bandman	PF-0169-2 CON	5381
27904	7590 09/29/2003			
INCYTE CORPORATION (formerly known as Incyte Genomics, Inc.) 3160 PORTER DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			ROMEO, DAVID S	
PALO ALTO	O, CA 94304		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		1647	TAI DA HOMBBA
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·		_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/938,885	BANDMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	David S Romeo	1647					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on th cover sheet w	ith the correspond nce address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I36(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.	August 2001						
	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal ma						
Disposition of Claims	Exparte quayre, 1000 C	5. 11, 100 0.0. 210.					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-15,17,20,25,26,43 and 45</u> is/a	re pending in the applicati	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-15,17,20,25,26,43 and 45</u> are s	subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
	·						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesta) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) · Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/938,885

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

Ŋ

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1, 2, 14, 15, drawn to a polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 3-5, 7, 9, 10, 43, 45, drawn to a polynucleotide, classified in class536, subclass 23.5.
- III. Claim 8, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- IV. Claims 11, 12, 25, 26, drawn to a measuring or testing process involving nucleic acid, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- V. Claim 13, drawn to a method of preparing a nucleotide involving PCR, classified in class 435, subclass 91.2.
- VI. Claim 17, drawn to an indeterminate method of detecting an indeterminate agonist activity, classified in class 436, subclass indeterminate.
- VII. Claim 20, drawn to an indeterminate method of detecting an indeterminate antagonistic activity, classified in class 436, subclass indeterminate.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The polynucleotides of Invention I are related to the polypeptides of Invention II by virtue of encoding same. The polynucleotide has utility for the recombinant

20 production of the polypeptide in a host cell. Although the polynucleotide and polypeptide are related since the polynucleotide encodes the specifically claimed polypeptide, they are distinct inventions because they are physically and functionally distinct chemical entities, and the polypeptide product can be made by another and

Application/Control Number: 09/938,885

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

materially different process, such as by synthetic polypeptide synthesis or purification form the natural source. Further, the polynucleotide may be used for processes other than the production of the polypeptide, such as a nucleic acid hybridization assay.

The polypeptide of invention I is related to the antibody of Invention II by virtue of being the cognate antigen, necessary for the production of the antibody. Although the polypeptide and antibody are related due to the necessary stearic complementarity of the two, they are distinct inventions because they are physically and functionally distinct chemical entities, and because the polypeptide can be used in another materially different process from the use for production of the antibody, such as in a pharmaceutical composition in its own right, or in assays for the identification of agonists or antagonists.

The following pairwise combinations of products and methods are independent and distinct, wherein the respective products may neither be produced by, nor used in the respective methods: I and each of IV, V; II and each of VI, VII; III and each of IV-VII.

Inventions I and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case I could be used in VII.

Inventions I and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can
be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for
using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or
(2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that
product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case I could be used in VI.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,885

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

The polynucleotide of invention II and the antibody of Invention III are related by virtue of the polypeptide that is encoded by the polynucleotide and necessary for the production of the antibody. However, the polynucleotide itself is not necessary for antibody production and both are wholly different compounds having different compositions and functions. Therefore, these inventions are distinct.

Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case II could be used in V.

Inventions II and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case I could be used in IV.

The following pairwise combinations of methods are independent and distinct, wherein each member of a pair performs different functions, using different starting materials and/or process steps and/or with different outcomes: IV and each of V-VII; V and each of VI, VII; VI and VII.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/938,885

Art Unit: 1647

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

ANY INQUIRY CONCERNING THIS COMMUNICATION OR EARLIER COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE EXAMINER SHOULD BE 15 DIRECTED TO DAVID S. ROMEO WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 305-4050. THE EXAMINER CAN NORMALLY BE REACHED ON MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY FROM 7:30 A.M. TO 4:00 P.M.

IF ATTEMPTS TO REACH THE EXAMINER BY TELEPHONE ARE UNSUCCESSFUL, THE EXAMINER'S SUPERVISOR, GARY KUNZ, CAN BE REACHED ON (703) 308-4623.

IF SUBMITTING OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE BY FAX, APPLICANTS ARE ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE TO THE FOLLOWING TC 1600 BEFORE AND AFTER FINAL RIGHTFAX NUMBERS:

(703) 872-9306 BEFORE FINAL (703) 872-9307

IN ADDITION TO THE OFFICIAL RIGHTFAX NUMBERS ABOVE, THE TC 1600 FAX CENTER HAS THE FOLLOWING OFFICIAL FAX NUMBERS: (703) 305-3592, (703) 308-4242 AND (703) 305-3014.

CUSTOMERS ARE ALSO ADVISED TO USE CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE PROCEDURES WHEN SUBMITTING A REPLY TO A NON-FINAL OR FINAL OFFICE ACTION BY FACSIMILE (SEE 37 CFR 1.6 AND 1.8).

FAXED DRAFT OR INFORMAL COMMUNICATIONS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE EXAMINER AT (703) 308-0294. ANY INQUIRY OF A GENERAL NATURE OR RELATING TO THE STATUS OF THIS APPLICATION OR PROCEEDING SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE GROUP RECEPTIONIST WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 308-0196.

30

20

25

5

10

35

DAVID ROMEO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1647

40

SEPTEMBER 26, 2003